

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/743,117	PAULSON, JEROME I.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James M Hewitt	3679

All Participants:

(1) James M Hewitt.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Larry Miller.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejections of claims 8 and 14

Claims discussed:

3, 5, 8 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

Weiste (US 6,443,671) and Heine et al (US 4,641,864)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

[Signature]

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that the claims were allowable over Weiste and Heine et al. Mr. Miller also agreed to amend the claims, as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment, in order to obviate various informalities, to amend the specification as reflected in the Examiner's Amendment, and to file replacement drawing sheets to reflect the changes proposed in the drawings filed 10/18/04.